# Executive Summary – Enforcement Matter – Case No. 52004 Sunoco Partners Marketing & Terminals L.P. RN100214626

Docket No. 2016-0357-AIR-E

**Order Type:** 

1660 Agreed Order

**Findings Order Justification:** 

N/A

Media:

AIR

**Small Business:** 

No

Location(s) Where Violation(s) Occurred:

Sunoco Partners Nederland Terminal, 2300 North Twin City Highway, Nederland, Lefferson County

Jefferson County **Type of Operation:** 

Petroleum storage/transport terminal

**Other Significant Matters:** 

Additional Pending Enforcement Actions: No

Past-Due Penalties: No

Other: N/A

Interested Third-Parties: None

Texas Register Publication Date: June 10, 2016

Comments Received: No

**Penalty Information** 

**Total Penalty Assessed:** \$9,600

Amount Deferred for Expedited Settlement: \$1,920 Amount Deferred for Financial Inability to Pay: \$0

**Total Paid to General Revenue:** \$7,680 **Total Due to General Revenue:** \$0

Payment Plan: N/A

Supplemental Environmental Project ("SEP") Conditional Offset: \$0

Name of SEP: N/A

**Compliance History Classifications:** 

Person/CN - Satisfactory Site/RN - Satisfactory

Major Source: Yes

**Statutory Limit Adjustment:** N/A **Applicable Penalty Policy:** April 2014

**Investigation Information** 

Complaint Date(s): N/A

**Complaint Information:** N/A

Date(s) of Investigation: February 1, 2016 through February 2, 2016

**Date(s) of NOE(s):** March 2, 2016

## Executive Summary – Enforcement Matter – Case No. 52004 Sunoco Partners Marketing & Terminals L.P. RN100214626 Docket No. 2016-0357-AIR-E

## Violation Information

Failed to prevent unauthorized emissions. Specifically, the Respondent released 12,347.77 pounds ("lbs") of volatile organic compounds and 61.74 lbs of hydrogen sulfide from the Process Fugitives Emissions, Emission Point Number F-TRML, during an avoidable emissions event (Incident No. 225600) that began on January 7, 2016 and lasted for four hours. The emissions event occurred during maintenance of an excavated pipeline with a small leak. The pipeline was not properly supported following excavation which caused stress on the fitting of the pipeline causing the pipeline to rupture. Since the emissions event could have been avoided through better operational practices, the Respondent is precluded from asserting an affirmative defense under 30 Tex. Admin. Code § 101.222 [30 Tex. Admin. Code §§ 116.115(b)(2)(F) and (c) and 122.143(4), New Source Review Permit No. 1980, Special Conditions No. 1, Federal Operating Permit No. 1573, Special Terms and Conditions No. 10, and Tex. Health & Safety Code § 382.085(b)].

### Corrective Actions/Technical Requirements

## **Corrective Action(s) Completed:**

The Respondent implemented the following corrective measures at the Plant designed to prevent unauthorized emissions due to the same cause as the emissions event, Incident No. 225600:

a. On February 9, 2016, terminal management ordered a review of dead-legs and an update of the tracking log by the pipeline integrity group to identify and schedule removal of any remaining dead-legs and dresser couplings; and

b. By March 23, 2016, the pipeline material standard for the pipeline excavation procedure was revised to exclude the use of dresser couplings as standard pipeline materials.

## **Technical Requirements:**

N/A

# Litigation Information

Date Petition(s) Filed: N/A Date Answer(s) Filed: N/A SOAH Referral Date: N/A Hearing Date(s): N/A

Settlement Date: N/A

## Executive Summary – Enforcement Matter – Case No. 52004 Sunoco Partners Marketing & Terminals L.P. RN100214626 Docket No. 2016-0357-AIR-E

# **Contact Information**

TCEQ Attorney: N/A

TCEQ Enforcement Coordinator: Carol McGrath, Enforcement Division, Enforcement Team 4, MC R-13, (210) 403-4063; Michael Parrish, Enforcement

Division, MC 219, (512) 239-2548 **TCEQ SEP Coordinator**: N/A

Respondent: G. W. Turner, Senior Manager, Sunoco Partners Marketing & Terminals

L.P., P.O. Box 758, Nederland, Texas 77627

**Respondent's Attorney:** N/A

#### Penalty Calculation Worksheet (PCW) PCW Revision March 26, 2014 Policy Revision 4 (April 2014) Assigned 7-Mar-2016 PCW 11-Mar-2016 Screening 10-Mar-2016 **EPA Due** RESPONDENT/FACILITY INFORMATION Respondent Sunoco Partners Marketing & Terminals L.P. Reg. Ent. Ref. No. RN100214626 Facility/Site Region 10-Beaumont Major/Minor Source Major CASE INFORMATION Enf./Case ID No. 52004 No. of Violations 1 Order Type 1660 Docket No. 2016-0357-AIR-E Media Program(s) Air Government/Non-Profit No Enf. Coordinator Carol McGrath Multi-Media EC's Team Enforcement Team 4 Maximum \$25,000 Admin. Penalty \$ Limit Minimum Penalty Calculation Section TOTAL BASE PENALTY (Sum of violation base penalties) Subtotal 1 \$7,500 ADJUSTMENTS (+/-) TO SUBTOTAL 1 Subtotals 2-7 are obtained by multiplying the Total Base Penalty (Subtotal 1) by the indicated percentage. Compliance History 38.0% Adjustment \$2,850 Enhancement for two NOVs with same/similar violations, four NOVs with Notes dissimilar violations, and one order with denial of liability. 0.0% Enhancement Subtotal 4 \$0 Culpability No The Respondent does not meet the culpability criteria. Notes Good Faith Effort to Comply Total Adjustments Subtotal 5 -\$750 0.0% Enhancement\* Subtotal 6 \$0 **Economic Benefit** Total EB Amounts \*Capped at the Total EB \$ Amount \$104 Estimated Cost of Compliance SUM OF SUBTOTALS 1-7 Final Subtotal \$9,600 OTHER FACTORS AS JUSTICE MAY REQUIRE 0.0% \$0 Adjustment Reduces or enhances the Final Subtotal by the indicated percentage

Final Penalty Amount

Final Assessed Penalty

Reduction Adjustment

20.0%

Deferral offered for expedited settlement.

\$9,600

\$9,600

-\$1,920

\$7,680

Notes

Notes

**PAYABLE PENALTY** 

STATUTORY LIMIT ADJUSTMENT

Reduces the Final Assessed Penalty by the indicated percentage. (Enter number only; e.g.

**Docket No.** 2016-0357-AIR-E

PCW

Policy Revision 4 (April 2014) PCW Revision March 26, 2014

**Respondent** Sunoco Partners Marketing & Terminals L.P. **Case ID No.** 52004

Reg. Ent. Reference No. RN100214626

Media [Statute] Air

Enf. Coordinator Carol McGrath

Compliance History Worksheet
>> Compliance History Site Enhancement (Subtotal 2)

Component	Number of	Number	Adjust.
NOVs	Written notices of violation ("NOVs") with same or similar violations as those in the current enforcement action (number of NOVs meeting criteria)	2	10%
	Other written NOVs	4	8%
	Any agreed final enforcement orders containing a denial of liability (number of orders meeting criteria)	1	20%
Orders	Any adjudicated final enforcement orders, agreed final enforcement orders without a denial of liability, or default orders of this state or the federal government, or any final prohibitory emergency orders issued by the commission	0	0%
Judgments	Any non-adjudicated final court judgments or consent decrees containing a denial of liability of this state or the federal government (number of judgments or consent decrees meeting criteria)	0	0%
and Consent Decrees	Any adjudicated final court judgments and default judgments, or non-adjudicated final court judgments or consent decrees without a denial of liability, of this state or the federal government	O	0%
Convictions	Any criminal convictions of this state or the federal government (number of counts)	. 0	0%
Emissions	Chronic excessive emissions events (number of events)	0	0%
A	Letters notifying the executive director of an intended audit conducted under the Texas Environmental, Health, and Safety Audit Privilege Act, 74th Legislature, 1995 (number of audits for which notices were submitted)	<b>0</b>	0%
Audits	Disclosures of violations under the Texas Environmental, Health, and Safety Audit Privilege Act, 74th Legislature, 1995 (number of audits for which violations were disclosed)	0	0%
	Environmental management systems in place for one year or more	No	0%
Other	Voluntary on-site compliance assessments conducted by the executive director under a special assistance program	No	0%
0000	Participation in a voluntary pollution reduction program	No	0%
	Early compliance with, or offer of a product that meets future state or federal government environmental requirements	No	0%
Repeat Violator	Adjustment Per (Subtotal 3)	rcentage (Sub	total 2) 38%
No	Adjustment Per	centage (Sub	total 3) 0%
<ul> <li>Compliance Hist</li> </ul>	ory Person Classification (Subtotal 7)		
Satisfactory	Performer Adjustment Per	centage (Sub	total 7) 0%
· Compliance Hist	ory Summary		
Compliance History Notes	Enhancement for two NOVs with same/similar violations, four NOVs with dissimilar one order with denial of liability.	violations, and	
	Total Compliance History Adjustment Percentage (S History Adjustment Final Adjustment Percenta		

Screening Date		PCW
	Sunoco Partners Marketing & Terminals L.P.	Policy Revision 4 (April 2014)
Case ID No.		PCW Revision March 26, 2014
Reg. Ent. Reference No. Media [Statute]		
Enf. Coordinator		
Violation Number		
Rule Cite(s)	30 Tex. Admin. Code §§ 116.115(b)(2)(F) and (c) and 122.143(4), New Sou	No.
Violation Description	Failed to prevent unauthorized emissions. Specifically, the Respondent relea 12,347.77 pounds ("lbs") of volatile organic compounds and 61.74 lbs of hydrologically from the Process Fugitives Emissions, Emission Point Number F-TRM during an avoidable emissions event (Incident No. 225600) that began on Jar 7, 2016 and lasted for four hours. The emissions event occurred during maintenance of an excavated pipeline with a small leak. The pipeline was a properly supported following excavation which caused stress on the fitting of pipeline causing the pipeline to rupture. Since the emissions event could have avoided through better operational practices, the Respondent is precluded from asserting an affirmative defense under 30 Tex. Admin. Code § 101.222.	rogen AL, nuary not f the been rom
	Base Pe	nalty \$25,000
>> Environmental Prener	ty and Human Health Matrix	
>> Elivironniental, Propei	Harm	
Release	Major Moderate Minor	
OR Actual Potential	X Percent 30.0%	
Poteridal	Fercent 50.0%	
>>Programmatic Matrix		
Falsification	Major Moderate Minor	
	Percent 0.0%	
Human health	or the environment has been exposed to significant amounts of pollutants whi	ch do
Matrix I not exceed to	evels that are protective of human health or environmental receptors as a resu	
Notes	the violation.	
	Adjustment \$1	7,500
	represent 1	7,500
		\$7,500
Violation Events		
N b		
Number of V	Violation Events 1 Number of violation days	
	daily	' '
	weekly same manual	
	monthly x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x	
TALLINA TO THE TALLINA THE	quarterly Violation Base Pe	nalty \$7,500
	semiannual annual	
	single event	
	One monthly event is recommended.	
Cand Faith Effects to Com	oly 10.0% Redu	ection \$750
Good Faith Efforts to Com	Before NOE/NOV NOE/NOV to EDPRP/Settlement Offer	oction \$750
	Extraordinary	
-	Ordinary	
	N/A	
	The Respondent completed corrective actions by March Notes 23, 2016, after the March 2, 2016 Notice of	
	Enforcement.	
	Violation Sub	total \$6,750
Economic Benefit (EB) for	this violation Statutory Limit Tes	jt .
Estimate	d EB Amount \$104 Violation Final Penalty	Total \$9,600
	hadron and the second	
	This violation Final Assessed Penalty (adjusted for lin	mits) \$9,600

	E	conomic	Benefit	Wo	rksheet		
Respondent	Sunoco Partne	ers Marketing & Te	erminals L.P.				
Case ID No.	52004						
tea. Ent. Reference No.	RN100214626						
Media							Years of
Violation No.						Percent Interest	Depreciation
Violation No.	*					- 0	7000000 <del>0</del> 00000000000000000000000000000
						5.0	15
	Item Cost	Date Required	Final Date	Yrs	Interest Saved	Onetime Costs	EB Amount
Item Description							
Delayed Costs							
Equipment				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Buildings				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Other (as needed)				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Engineering/Construction				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Land				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Record Keeping System				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Training/Sampling				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Remediation/Disposal				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Permit Costs				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Other (as needed)	\$10,000	7-Jan-2016	23-Mar-2016	0.21	\$104	n/a	\$104
Notes for DELAYED costs  Avoided Costs			Final Date is	the d	ate of compliance.	date of the emission	ins event. The
Disposal	<del></del>			enterii	na item (except l	or one-time avoid	led costs)
				0.00		or one-time avoid	led costs) \$0
Personnel				-			<del> </del>
Personnel				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Personnel				0.00	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0
Personnel spection/Reporting/Sampling				0.00 0.00 0.00	\$0 \$0 \$0	\$0 \$0 \$0	\$0 \$0 \$0
Personnel spection/Reporting/Sampling Supplies/Equipment				0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0
Personnel spection/Reporting/Sampling Supplies/Equipment Financial Assurance [2]				0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0
Personnel nspection/Reporting/Sampling Supplies/Equipment Financial Assurance [2] ONE-TIME avoided costs [3]				0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0



# Compliance History Report

PUBLISHED Compliance History Report for CN601403199, RN100214626, Rating Year 2015 which includes Compliance History (CH) components from September 1, 2010, through August 31, 2015.

Customer, Respondent, CN601403199, Sunoco Partners Marketing

Classification: SATISFACTORY Rating: 0.58

or Owner/Operator:

& Terminals L.P.

Regulated Entity:

RN100214626, SUNOCO PARTNERS

Classification: SATISFACTORY

Rating: 0.95

**Complexity Points:** 

27

Repeat Violator: NO

CH Group:

14 - Other

Location:

2300 N TWIN CITY HWY, NEDERLAND, JEFFERSON COUNTY, TX 77627-3550

TCEO Region:

**REGION 10 - BEAUMONT** 

NEDERLAND TERMINAL

ID Number(s):

**AIR OPERATING PERMITS ACCOUNT NUMBER JE0091L** 

**AIR OPERATING PERMITS PERMIT 1573** 

INDUSTRIAL AND HAZARDOUS WASTE EPA ID

INDUSTRIAL AND HAZARDOUS WASTE SOLID WASTE

REGISTRATION # (SWR) 32500 TXD045196565

INDUSTRIAL AND HAZARDOUS WASTE EPA ID

INDUSTRIAL AND HAZARDOUS WASTE OTS REQUEST

TXP490351982

AIR NEW SOURCE PERMITS AFS NUM 4824500057

**AIR NEW SOURCE PERMITS PERMIT 1980** 

**AIR NEW SOURCE PERMITS REGISTRATION 4338** 

**AIR NEW SOURCE PERMITS PERMIT 5691** 

**AIR NEW SOURCE PERMITS PERMIT 7394** 

**AIR NEW SOURCE PERMITS REGISTRATION 10476** 

**AIR NEW SOURCE PERMITS REGISTRATION 10644** 

**AIR NEW SOURCE PERMITS REGISTRATION 11669** 

**AIR NEW SOURCE PERMITS REGISTRATION 12268** 

AIR NEW SOURCE PERMITS ACCOUNT NUMBER JE0091L

**AIR NEW SOURCE PERMITS PERMIT 56508** 

**AIR NEW SOURCE PERMITS EPA PERMIT PSDTX1444** 

**AIR NEW SOURCE PERMITS REGISTRATION 118730** AIR NEW SOURCE PERMITS REGISTRATION 107665

AIR NEW SOURCE PERMITS EPA PERMIT PSDTX1472 **AIR NEW SOURCE PERMITS REGISTRATION 111204** 

**WASTEWATER EPA ID TX0005746** 

**WASTEWATER PERMIT WQ0001151000** 

AIR EMISSIONS INVENTORY ACCOUNT NUMBER JE0091L

**STORMWATER PERMIT TXR05AX72** 

Compliance History Period: September 01, 2010 to August 31, 2015

Rating Year: 2015

Rating Date: 09/01/2015

Date Compliance History Report Prepared: March 08, 2016

Agency Decision Requiring Compliance History: Enforcement

Component Period Selected: March 08, 2011 to March 08, 2016

TCEO Staff Member to Contact for Additional Information Regarding This Compliance History. Name: Carol McGrath

Phone: (210) 403-4063

## Site and Owner/Operator History:

1) Has the site been in existence and/or operation for the full five year compliance period?

YES

2) Has there been a (known) change in ownership/operator of the site during the compliance period?

NO

3) If **YES** for #2, who is the current owner/operator?

4) If YES for #2, who was/were the prior owner(s)/operator(s)? N/A

5) If YES, when did the change(s) in owner or operator occur? N/A

#### Components (Multimedia) for the Site Are Listed in Sections A - J

A. Final Orders, court judgments, and consent decrees:

ADMINORDER 2011-0106-IWD-E (1660 Order-Agreed Order With Denial) Effective Date: 07/31/2011

Classification: Moderate

Citation: 2D TWC Chapter 26, SubChapter A 26.121(a)

30 TAC Chapter 305, SubChapter F 305.125(1) Rqmt Prov: Effluent Limits PERMIT

Description: Failure to comply with permit effluent limits oil and grease and total suspended solids as documented by a

TCEQ record review of self-reported data.

B. Criminal convictions: N/A

1

C. Chronic excessive emissions events: N/A

#### D. The approval dates of investigations (CCEDS Inv. Track. No.):

Item 1	April 13, 2011	(924784)
Item 2	May 13, 2011	(938022)
Item 3	June 13, 2011	(945345)
Item 4	July 12, 2011	(952619)
Item 5	August 19, 2011	(959300)
Item 6	September 16, 2011	(965333)
Item 7	October 04, 2011	(971373)
Item 8	November 15, 2011	(977532)
Item 9	December 16, 2011	(984299)
Item 10	January 11, 2012	(990601)
Item 11	March 10, 2012	(1003486)
Item 12	April 13, 2012	(1010050)
Item 13	May 08, 2012	(1016445)
Item 14	June 15, 2012	(1024164)
Item 15	July 16, 2012	(1031560)
Item 16	August 09, 2012	(1037936)
Item 17	September 20, 2012	(1046668)
Item 18	October 19, 2012	(1060861)
Item 19	October 29, 2012	(1030314)
Item 20	November 14, 2012	(1060862)
Item 21	December 12, 2012	(1060863)
Item 22	January 17, 2013	(1078957)
Item 23	February 19, 2013	(1078956)
Item 24	March 21, 2013	(1089301)
Item 25	April 17, 2013	(1095697)
Item 26	July 19, 2013	(1117181)
Item 27	August 16, 2013	(1124937)
Item 28	September 13, 2013	(1129537)
Item 29	October 18, 2013	(1135267)
Item 30	November 15, 2013	(1140666)
Item 31	December 19, 2013	(1147125)
Item 32	January 17, 2014	(1153194)
Item 33	February 20, 2014	(1160529)
Item 34	March 20, 2014	(1167174)
Item 35	April 17, 2014	(1174307)
Item 36	May 13, 2014	(1180495)
Item 37	June 19, 2014	(1187393)
Item 38	August 06, 2014	(1184884)
Item 39	August 21, 2014	(1198536)
Item 40	September 19, 2014	(1205798)
Item 41	October 17, 2014	(1212210)
Item 42	November 20, 2014	(1218462)
Item 43	December 19, 2014	(1224241)
Item 44	January 09, 2015	(1208974)
Item 45	January 19, 2015	(1230768)
Item 46	February 20, 2015	(1242278)
Item 47	March 20, 2015	(1248607)

Item 48	May 13, 2015	(1248222)
Item 49	June 11, 2015	(1269386)
Item 50	June 30, 2015	(1259680)
Item 51	July 06, 2015	(1259080)
Item 52	July 15, 2015	(1248302)
Item 53	July 17, 2015	(1276932)
Item 54	July 30, 2015	(1266294)
Item 55	September 11, 2015	(1290249)
Item 56	November 16, 2015	(1301905)
Item 57	December 17, 2015	(1308833)

#### E. Written notices of violations (NOV) (CCEDS Inv. Track. No.):

A notice of violation represents a written allegation of a violation of a specific regulatory requirement from the commission to a regulated entity. A notice of violation is not a final enforcement action, nor proof that a violation has actually occurred.

Date:

03/31/2015 (1255507)

CN601403199

Classification:

Self Report? Citation:

2D TWC Chapter 26, SubChapter A 26.121(a)

30 TAC Chapter 305, SubChapter F 305.125(1)

Description:

Failure to meet the limit for one or more permit parameter

2 Date:

04/30/2015 (1262217)

CN601403199

Moderate

Moderate

Citation:

Self Report? YES

2D TWC Chapter 26, SubChapter A 26.121(a)

30 TAC Chapter 305, SubChapter F 305.125(1)

Description:

Failure to meet the limit for one or more permit parameter

3 Date:

06/30/2015 (1241494)

CN601403199

Moderate

Moderate

Citation:

Self Report? NO

Classification:

Classification:

30 TAC Chapter 106, SubChapter V 106.492(2)(C) 5C THSC Chapter 382 382.085(b)

Description:

Failure to operate a thermal control device (portable flare) as per the claimed

Permit by Rule 30 Texas Administrative Code (30 TAC) 106.492. Classification:

Self Report? Citation:

30 TAC Chapter 101, SubChapter F 101.201(c) 30 TAC Chapter 122, SubChapter B 122,143(4)

5C THSC Chapter 382 382.085(b)

GENERAL TERMS AND CONDITIONS OP SPECIAL TERMS AND CONDITIONS OP

Description:

Failure to submit a final report no later than two weeks after the end of the

emissions event.

Date:

07/31/2015 (1283109)

CN601403199

Classification: Moderate

Citation:

Self Report?

2D TWC Chapter 26, SubChapter A 26.121(a) 30 TAC Chapter 305, SubChapter F 305.125(1)

Description:

Failure to meet the limit for one or more permit parameter

5

Date:

08/13/2015 (1261513)

CN601403199 Classification:

Moderate

Citation:

Self Report? NO

30 TAC Chapter 116, SubChapter B 116.115(c) 30 TAC Chapter 122, SubChapter B 122.143(4)

5C THSC Chapter 382 382.085(b) General Terms & Conditions OP

Special Condition 6E PERMIT Special Term & Condition 10 OP

Description:

Failure to maintain a line with a cap, blind flange, plug, or second valve in volatile

organic compound service.

6

Date:

09/30/2015 (1296452)

CN601403199

Self Report? YES Classification:

Moderate

Citation:

2D TWC Chapter 26, SubChapter A 26.121(a) 30 TAC Chapter 305, SubChapter F 305.125(1)

Description:

Failure to meet the limit for one or more permit parameter

F. Environmental audits: N/A

- G. Type of environmental management systems (EMSs): N/A
- H. Voluntary on-site compliance assessment dates: N/A
- I. Participation in a voluntary pollution reduction program: N/A
- J. Early compliance: N/A

Sites Outside of Texas: N/A

# Texas Commission on Environmental Quality



IN THE MATTER OF AN ENFORCEMENT ACTION	§ §	BEFORE THE
CONCERNING SUNOCO PARTNERS	§ §	TEXAS COMMISSION ON
MARKETING & TERMINALS L.P. RN100214626	§ §	ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY

### AGREED ORDER DOCKET NO. 2016-0357-AIR-E

#### I. JURISDICTION AND STIPULATIONS

On	, the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality ("the
Commission" or "TCEC	") considered this agreement of the parties, resolving an enforcement
action regarding Sunoc	o Partners Marketing & Terminals L.P. (the "Respondent") under the
authority of TEX. HEAL	TH & SAFETY CODE ch. 382 and TEX. WATER CODE ch. 7. The Executive
Director of the TCEQ, t	hrough the Enforcement Division, and the Respondent together stipulate
that:	

- 1. The Respondent owns and operates a petroleum storage/transport terminal located at 2300 North Twin City Highway in Nederland, Jefferson County, Texas (the "Plant"). The Plant consists or consisted of one or more sources as defined in Tex. Health & Safety Code § 382.003(12).
- 2. The Executive Director and the Respondent agree that the TCEQ has jurisdiction to enter this Order pursuant to Tex. Water Code §§ 7.002, 7.051, and 7.073, and that the Respondent is subject to TCEQ's jurisdiction. The TCEQ has jurisdiction in this matter pursuant to Tex. Water Code § 5.013 because it alleges violations of Tex. Health & Safety Code ch. 382 and the rules of the TCEQ.
- 3. The occurrence of any violation is in dispute and the entry of this Order shall not constitute an admission by the Respondent of any violation alleged in Section II ("Allegations"), nor of any statute or rule.
- 4. An administrative penalty in the amount of \$9,600 is assessed by the Commission in settlement of the violations alleged in Section II ("Allegations"). The Respondent paid \$7,680 of the penalty and \$1,920 is deferred contingent upon the Respondent's timely and satisfactory compliance with all the terms of this Order. The deferred amount shall be waived only upon full compliance with all the terms and conditions contained in this Order. If the Respondent fails to timely and satisfactorily comply with any of the terms or requirements contained in this Order, the Executive Director may demand payment of all or part of the deferred penalty amount.
- 5. The Executive Director and the Respondent agree on a settlement of the matters alleged in this enforcement action, subject to final approval in accordance with 30 TEX. ADMIN.

Sunoco Partners Marketing & Terminals L.P. DOCKET NO. 2016-0357-AIR-E Page 2

CODE § 70.10(a). Any notice and procedures, which might otherwise be authorized or required in this action, are waived in the interest of a more timely resolution of the matter.

- 6. The Executive Director may, without further notice or hearing, refer this matter to the Office of the Attorney General of the State of Texas ("OAG") for further enforcement proceedings if the Executive Director determines that the Respondent has not complied with one or more of the terms or conditions in this Order.
- 7. This Order represents the complete and fully-integrated agreement of the parties. The provisions of this Order are deemed severable and, if a court of competent jurisdiction or other appropriate authority deems any provision of this Order unenforceable, the remaining provisions shall be valid and enforceable.
- 8. This Order shall terminate five years from its effective date or upon compliance with all the terms and conditions set forth in this Order, whichever is later.
- 9. The Executive Director recognizes that the Respondent implemented the following corrective measures at the Plant designed to prevent unauthorized emissions due to the same cause as the emissions event, Incident No. 225600:
  - a. On February 9, 2016, terminal management ordered a review of dead-legs and an update of the tracking log by the pipeline integrity group to identify and schedule removal of any remaining dead-legs and dresser couplings; and
  - b. By March 23, 2016, the pipeline material standard for the pipeline excavation procedure was revised to exclude the use of dresser couplings as standard pipeline materials.

#### II. ALLEGATIONS

During a record review conducted from February 1, 2016 through February 2, 2016, an investigator documented that the Respondent failed to prevent unauthorized emissions, in violation of 30 Tex. Admin. Code §§ 116.115(b)(2)(F) and (c) and 122.143(4), New Source Review Permit No. 1980, Special Conditions No. 1, Federal Operating Permit No. 1573, Special Terms and Conditions No. 10, and Tex. Health & Safety Code § 382.085(b). Specifically, the Respondent released 12,347.77 pounds ("lbs") of volatile organic compounds and 61.74 lbs of hydrogen sulfide from the Process Fugitives Emissions, Emission Point Number F-TRML, during an avoidable emissions event (Incident No. 225600) that began on January 7, 2016 and lasted for four hours. The emissions event occurred during maintenance of an excavated pipeline with a small leak. The pipeline was not properly supported following excavation which caused stress on the fitting of the pipeline causing the pipeline to rupture. Since the emissions event could have been avoided through better operational practices, the Respondent is precluded from asserting an affirmative defense under 30 Tex. Admin. Code § 101.222.

#### III. DENIALS

The Respondent generally denies each allegation in Section II ("Allegations").

#### IV. ORDERING PROVISIONS

NOW, THEREFORE, THE TEXAS COMMISSION ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY ORDERS that:

1. The Respondent is assessed a penalty as set forth in Section I, Paragraph 4. The payment of this penalty and the Respondent's compliance with all of the requirements set forth in this Order resolve only the allegations in Section II. The Commission shall not be constrained in any manner from requiring corrective action or penalties for violations which are not raised here. Penalty payments shall be made payable to "TCEQ" and shall be sent with the notation "Re: Sunoco Partners Marketing & Terminals L.P., Docket No. 2016-0357-AIR-E" to:

Financial Administration Division, Revenue Operations Section Attention: Cashier's Office, MC 214 Texas Commission on Environmental Quality P.O. Box 13088 Austin, Texas 78711-3088

- 2. All relief not expressly granted in this Order is denied.
- 3. The duties and provisions imposed by this Order shall apply to and be binding upon the Respondent. The Respondent is ordered to give notice of this Order to personnel who maintain day-to-day control over the Plant operations referenced in this Order.
- 4. The Executive Director may grant an extension of any deadline in this Order or in any plan, report, or other document submitted pursuant to this Order, upon a written and substantiated showing of good cause. All requests for extensions by the Respondent shall be made in writing to the Executive Director. Extensions are not effective until the Respondent receives written approval from the Executive Director. The determination of what constitutes good cause rests solely with the Executive Director.
- This Order, issued by the Commission, shall not be admissible against the Respondent in a civil proceeding, unless the proceeding is brought by the OAG to: (1) enforce the terms of this Order; or (2) pursue violations of a statute within the Commission's jurisdiction, or of a rule adopted or an order or permit issued by the Commission under such a statute.
- 6. This Order may be executed in separate and multiple counterparts, which together shall constitute a single instrument. Any page of this Order may be copied, scanned, digitized, converted to electronic portable document format ("pdf"), or otherwise reproduced and may be transmitted by digital or electronic transmission, including but not limited to facsimile transmission and electronic mail. Any signature affixed to this Order shall constitute an original signature for all purposes and may be used, filed, substituted, or issued for any purpose for which an original signature could be used. The term "signature" shall include manual signatures and true and accurate reproductions of manual signatures created, executed, endorsed, adopted, or authorized by the person or persons to whom the signatures are attributable. Signatures may be copied or

Sunoco Partners Marketing & Terminals L.P. DOCKET NO. 2016-0357-AIR-E Page 4

reproduced digitally, electronically, by photocopying, engraving, imprinting, lithographing, electronic mail, facsimile transmission, stamping, or any other means or process which the Executive Director deems acceptable. In this paragraph exclusively, the terms: electronic transmission, owner, person, writing, and written, shall have the meanings assigned to them under Tex. Bus. Org. Code § 1.002.

7. The effective date of this Order is the date it is signed by the Commission. A copy of this fully executed Order shall be provided to each of the parties.

Sunoco Partners Marketing & Terminals L.P. DOCKET NO. 2016-0357-AIR-E Page 5

# **SIGNATURE PAGE**

# TEXAS COMMISSION ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY

For the Commission	Date
For the Executive Director	8/19/16 Date
I, the undersigned, have read and understand the the attached Order, and I do agree to the terms an acknowledge that the TCEQ, in accepting payment on such representation.	d conditions specified therein. I further
I also understand that failure to comply with the C and/or failure to timely pay the penalty amount, n	
<ul> <li>A negative impact on compliance history;</li> <li>Greater scrutiny of any permit applications</li> <li>Referral of this case to the Attorney General additional penalties, and/or attorney fees,</li> <li>Increased penalties in any future enforcem</li> <li>Automatic referral to the Attorney General and</li> <li>TCEQ seeking other relief as authorized by</li> </ul>	nl's Office for contempt, injunctive relief, or to a collection agency; ent actions; of Soffice of any future enforcement actions;
In addition, any falsification of any compliance do	cuments may result in criminal prosecution.
Signature	5-9-16 Date
Name (Printed or typed) Authorized Representative of Sunoco Partners Marketing & Terminals L.P.	SR. DIRECTOR Title
<b>Instructions</b> : Send the original, signed Order with penalty particles Revenue Operations Section at the address in	payment to the Financial Administration Division, Section IV, Paragraph 1 of this Order.
$\square$ If mailing address has changed, please check	this box and provide the new address below: